

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,877	· ····································	11/23/2001	Jose Schutt-Aine	9485.003.00	2204
30827	7590	04/03/2003			
MCKENN	A LONG	& ALDRIDGE L	EXAMINER		
1900 K STR WASHING			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2839	
				DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	. 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/990,877		SCHUTT-AINE, JOSE					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Chandrika i	Prasad	2839					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time rnay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on :								
2a)⊠	11110 0000011 10 1 11111	This action is n		procedution and to the morite in					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applica	ation.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from con	sideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∏ ap	proved b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1,20			() () ()					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)□	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) o(s)	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/990,877

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's reply filed on 12/10/2002 consists of amendments to the only independent claim 1 and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, an RF interconnect structure including a plurality of pins extending from the flange must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claims 1-21. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The entire specification is confusing because reference number 1 has been specifically used to refer to a body at the same time it has been actually used to describe the RF connector or the connector assembly.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.



Art Unit: 2839

5. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The only independent claim 1 as amended recites an RF interconnect structure including a plurality of pins extending from the flange, which has been neither described in the specification nor shown in the drawing.

The specification and the drawings imply only one RF interconnect structure (i.e., the connector assembly or the connector 1) with a body (outer cylindrical portion 5 and inner cylindrical portion 3) with a flange 7 with a cavity 8A and a plurality of pins 11 extending from the flange. There are no other structures with a plurality of pins. Furthermore, the pins 11 extend from the flange of the connector assembly 1, not from another structure.

Note: For the purposes of this analysis, the newly added RF interconnect structure has been ignored.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1-3 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Janko.

Janko (Figures 1-7) shows a connector assembly having a body with a flange 24 having a cavity 20 and a plurality of pins 28 extending through holes 26 to contact leads (conductive lines) 14 of a semi conductor device on a chip carrier 12 received in the cavity. The pins are coplanar. A substantial part of the chip carrier is received in the cavity and the

Application/Control Number: 09/990,877

Art Unit: 2839

chip carrier is flush with a surface of the flange. The cavity is symmetrically at a center of the flange. Each of the conductive lines 14 has a bent portion, which is coplanar with pins. Although Janko does not specify a ground pin(s), it is inherent to have one or two ground pins to provide a path(s) for circuit return.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 4-10 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janko.

Janko show all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 7above except the signal path between the signal pin and the device to be 40, 75, 100, 200, 300, 400 or 500 mils and conductive line to be 50 or 100 mils. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to arrange the device to a desired distance from the pins to obtain a desired signal path and to make the conductive lines 50 or 100 mils long because this would require a mere arrangement or change in size which involve only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The arguments refer to an RF interconnect structure, which is considered new matter and has been ignored in this analysis.



Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (703) 308-0977. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at (703) 308-2710. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (general) and (703) 872-9319 for after-final. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad Patent examiner March 31, 2003